# Mandukya Upanishad, Class 29

# Karika # 30:

```
etaireșo'pṛthagbhāvaiḥ
pṛthageveti lakṣitaḥ |
evaṃ yo veda tattvena kalpayetso'viśaṅkitaḥ || 30 ||
```

30. This Ātman, though

non-separate from all these, appears, as it were, separate. One

who knows this truly imagines (interprets) (the meaning of the Vedas) without hesitation.

nesitation.

Gaudapada gave an elaborate

list of various misconceptions of different philosophers; he says they commit

mistake of looking at reality as an object that is outside of us; they also

think the object has an independent reality; they also think that "I" also has

an independent reality. Gaudapada says no object can have reality, as it is

dependent for its existence on the subject. So, he concludes that all these

objects are non-separate from Atma, just as dream world can't have an existence

from Waker.

#### The

dreamer in dream looks upon the dream world as existing independently but when

he wakes up, the dream world resolves into him, the observer. The fundamental truth

is that the observed does not exist independent of the

observer. Anything observed, ordinary or extraordinary, secular or sacred cannot exist independent of the Turiyam Atma, the observer.

Thus, Objects are dependent on subject; hence they are Mithya.

They look upon Jagrat Prapancha

as an independent entity even as a person in dream world thinks the dream is

real. Once object is taken as a separate entity, then subject is also taken as

separate from object, causing Subject/Object division; thus both subject and

object become limited and then we can't obtain freedom from limitation. In

other philosophies this limitation is never overcome; they preserve the duality

and limitation is not overcome.

Wise person is one who

understands that the division is just an appearance and not a reality. Citing

example of sunrise, it is just an appearance; it is not real; it is result of

earth's rotation. Experience of division

is not the problem; considering division as reality is the problem.

One who knows that duality is

just an appearance and that non-duality is a fact, that person alone can teach

scriptures; he is a real Guru. The word Kalpayate in Karika means teaching.

Others use Veda Pramanam but

they are not aware of it. Right teaching is when Dvaitam is in the beginning

but ends in Advaitam as destination; he is a real Guru. He alone can interpret scriptures convincingly.

#### Karika # 31:

As are dreams and illusions or a castle in the air seen in the sky, so is the universe viewed by the wise in the Vedānta.

Here Gaudapada says until one

comes to Vedanta one can be a Dwaitin; but once he goes through Vedantic

teaching this two-fold difference must be gone and non-dual reality must come

forward. He will continue to see Dvaitam but will know it is false.

For several centuries we

thought earth was stationery and planets revolved around earth; then one

scientist suggested that earth is going around the sun, but world was not

willing to believe him; he was persecuted; then they started discovering; truth

is not based on democracy, and accepted that earth goes around the sun. Even

after knowing this fact, we still experience sun going around us. So, experience does not change knowledge; just

as sunrise does not change the fact that sun does not rise or set. So also Dvaita anubhava cannot displace advaita gyanam.

# Along

these lines, when we have a general

awakening, the dream world is known as mithya and the dream world disappears

for me. Whereas, when there is spiritual awakening from maya-

shakti, the waking

world is falsified but it does not disappear. It will continue for the awakened

person, he experiences it, but knows that it is mithya. Once
the waking

world is known to be mithya, the awakened person knows that it does not exist

separate from him just like the dream world. The dream world anatma, the waking world anatma, or any anatma does not exist separate from me, the atma, the Experiencer.

So, wise people understand this universe as non-factual, mithya or advaitam is understood as fact, in light of vedantic teachings. After this knowledge Dvaita experience continues but they look upon Dvaitam as Swapnam and dream is not taken as fact. Similarly Maya, when we see in a magic show a lady being cut in half with blood spilling on stage and body being separated, but we are not upset as we know it is only a magic show. So eyes report subject/ object division but Vedantic teaching tells us it is not true. Third example is Gandharva nagaram where sky-city shows different patterns of clouds; thus one can see a floating city, seemingly there but we know it is just a cloud arrangement. Even modern science says there are only photons; protons etc or it is energy in motion. Vedantin says, world is consciousness in motion.

Like the dream world, the magician's creation, or a seeming city in the sky when there are cloud Formations, which are all only appearances, in the same way from the standpoint of Turiyam, this entire Those people who are experts in Vedantic teaching also see creation as a mithya appearance. For them it is not just a teaching anymore but it has become a fact.

### Karika # 32:

There is no dissolution, no birth, none in bondage, none aspiring for wisdom, no seeker of liberation and

### none liberated. This is the absolute truth.

A very important Karika often

quoted by Shankaracharya. It says, from point of view of Turiyam, world does

not exist; however, from body's point of view, world exists; from mind's point

of view also, world exists.

#### This

verse is a corollary of the previous verse. It is a profound and often a

disturbing verse. For a Gyani, the waking world is also exactly like the dream

world only. What does it mean? Let us look at the dream world first. When we

are in the dream world we see many events happening. They all appear real in

dream. From the dreamer's standpoint, all the dream events are really taking

place. But when the dreamer wakes up, from the standpoint of the waker, it is

realized that all the dream events did not really take place. They all

seemingly happened but factually they did not happen. If this is understood

with respect to the dream world, Gauḍapada says that that this understanding

should be extended to the waking world also.

#### The

creation, sustenance, and dissolution of the waking world only seemingly happen

but really they do not happen from the standpoint of Turiya atma. From the waker's

standpoint they are real. Jivas coming into existence, experiencing samsara, jivas

becoming seekers, following the sadhanas karma-yoga, upasana-

yoga and

jnana-yoga, coming to a guru, guru teaching, and getting liberated only

seemingly happen. There is no question of anyone becoming liberated. From the

standpoint of the body-mind complex, all these are really happening but from

the standpoint of Turiyam, all these are as though happening.

For dream body, dream hunger

is real and so we go after dream food. Dream body's wound is also real; we even

go to a dream doctor; obtain dream medicine and even pay in dream money. Now if

while swallowing the dream medication you wake up, you find there are no wound,

no doctor and no money. All are non-existent only after waking up. So, from

waker's point of view dream was unreal. So also from Turiyam point of view

world is not real even as dream body is not real to waker. What about Pralayam?

From Turiyam's point of view there is neither Srishti nor Pralayam; all these

exist only from point of view of body and mind only.

If Srishti and Laya are not

real it also means there is no Sthiti as well. So, if the world is not there

then what about the people in the world? Jivas are also as good as not there.

If so, where is the bondage of the jiva? If there is no bound jiva what is

point of seeking liberation? Seeking

**liberation is only for one who is bound.** Seeker alone has to do all the

seeking via various sadhanas. When seeker himself does not

exist, where is the seeking?

From Turiyam's point of view he is also non-existent.

How about liberated person?

When there is no bound person where is the need for liberation? It all depends

upon which "I" is asking? Citing an example, in such a case, one may ask should

I come to the class or not? So long as Ahamkara "I" exists, come to class, if

not, no need to come to class.

#### Karika # 33:

This (the Ātman) is imagined both as

unreal objects that are perceived and as the non-duality. The objects (Bhāvas) are

imagined in the non-duality itself. Therefore, non-duality (alone)

is the (highest) bliss.

Here Gaudapada makes a very

important observation. He says Dvaitam is totally mithya. Thus, the trio of

Vishwa and Jagrit prapancha; Taijasa and Swapna Prapancha and; Pragya and

Karana Prapancha, all three are mithya and therefore between two (dvaitam and

advaitam) which is better? Naturally Advaitam is better as it is partially

Satyam and as such auspicious while Dvaitam is inauspicious. Therefore, come to advaitam.

Why do you say advaitam is

partially satyam? Let us start with what is mithya? Whatever is negated is

mithya. Whatever is un-negated is Satyam. Turiyam is un-

negatable hence it is

Satyam. Once dvaitam is negated Advaitam is Satyam. Here Gaudapada says, when

advaitam remains as Satyam then the word advaitam becomes irrelevant. Advaitam

has meaning only so long as Dvaitam is there. Once Dvaitam is negated, there is

no more need for word advaitam. Using snake rope analogy, the rope is the

support of the rope-snake when a person experiences the rope-snake. From the

standpoint of the false rope-snake, the rope is called the adhishtanam of the

rope-snake because rope alone lends existence to the snake. Whatever borrows

existence is called mithya and whatever lends existence is called adhishtanam.

Now Gaudapada says that the word adhishtanam is used only from the standpoint

of the mithya snake. If the snake is negated in better lighting, the snake is

known to be nonexistent and was only an appearance. Once the snake is negated,

can one call the rope the adhishtanam?

#### Adhishtanam

is adhishtanam only from the standpoint of the snake when it was borrowing

existence. When the snake has been negated, the rope cannot be called adhishtanam

any more. Even the word advaita adhishtanam is only from the standpoint of the

dvaita world, the empirical angle.

# After negating snake, rope

alone remains. Once object is negated as mithya, subject alone remains as

Satyam; but once object has been negated, subject need not be called as such.

Subject just remains without subject status; so also advaitam remains with

advaitam-status; divisionless remains without divisionless status.

The truth revealed by word

advaitam remains; it can't be called object nor subject; nor matter or

consciousness; or dvaitam or advaitam. After negating matter, the word

consciousness, has no more relevance; similarly the word eternal is only related

to non-eternal. Thus, advaitam status is partially mithya but its substance is still Satyam.

This atma is visualized in

form of dvaita prapancha, which is mithya. Atma is imagined as non-dual

substratum; the substratum status is also mithya; observer is satyam while observer-status

is also mithya; witness is satyam while witness-status is Mithya. Hence it is

called nameless or Amatra.

After negation of everything

whatever remains is truth. Therefore the word advaitam is mithya.

Moreover dvaita prapancha

exists depending on advaitam only. Thus, Dvaitam depends on advaitam hence

advaitam is stayam. In the karika's first line advaitam is mithya but in second

line it says it is satyam. Therefore, it means advaitam status is mithya but

advaitam, non-duality itself, is auspicious.

## Karika # 34:

This manifold does not exist

as identical with Atman nor does

it ever stand independent by itself. It is neither separate from Brahman nor is

it non-separate. This is the statement of the wise.

Here Gaudapada says, the more

you probe Dvaita Prapancha, the more it becomes mysterious, hence it is called

Maya or anirvacharniyam; it is like a dream, we can't say it is not existent

since it gives us a lot of trouble. That is why we even have prayers to prevent

bad dreams. So we cant say it does not exist nor can we say it exists as well.

Thus, I have never declared my dream wealth for tax purposes. Hence, it is a

mystery. Matter also can't be defined. Matter or anatma or world does not exist

as identical as Chaitanyam or Atma.

Anatma is inert; atma is

consciousness principle, hence world can't be same as atma. Can matter exist as

separate from consciousness? We can't prove existence of world separate from

observer. Existence presupposes

Consciousness. So anatma is

neither identical with atma nor is it separate from atma. Therefore there is an

answer in the middle. Can we say it is partially identical; we can't say so as

consciousness does not have parts.

In short, the

world is a mystery. It is experienced but you cannot prove anything logically.

The more you go deeper, the more mysterious it gets.

Is Matter identical with consciousness?

Is Matter separate from
consciousness?

I can't say matter does not

exist. I can't say whatever I experience does not exist. Intellect can only be

by classification; thus we have chapters in a book. World, however, is not

available for categorization. The more we probe the hazier it gets. Scientists

are also finding this out; they are not sure if observed object exists in an observer or not.

## Take Away:

Anything

observed, ordinary or extraordinary, secular or sacred cannot exist independent

of the Turiyam Atma, the observer.

Experience of division is not

the problem; considering division as reality is the problem. Thus sunrise is

experienced but it is not real.

Experience does not change

knowledge; just as sunrise does not change the fact that sun does not rise or

set. So also Dvaita anubhava cannot displace advaita gyanam.

#### When

we have a general awakening, the dream world is known as mithya and the dream

world disappears for me. Whereas, when there is spiritual awakening from

maya-shakti, the waking world is falsified but it does not disappear. It will

continue for the awakened person, he experiences it, but knows that it is mithya.

Seeking liberation is only for one who is bound.

#### Tn

short, the world is a mystery. It is experienced but you cannot prove anything

logically. The more you go deeper, the more mysterious it gets.

## With Best Wishes

Ram Ramaswamy