Mandukya Upanishad, Class 37

Atma is kariya karana

vilakshanam. Atma is neither an effect or a cause. Nothing is born

out of atma neither the jagat or jiva. Gowdapadha established this by:

- 1. Yuktyya jiva shrity nishedhaga: Logical negation of jiva shristy (Verses 3 to 9)
- 2. Yukthya jagat shristy nisedhagaha: Logical
 negation of jagat shrisy (Verse 10)
- 3. Shruthya jiva shristy nishedhaha: Scriptural negation of jiva shristy (Verses 11 to 14)
- 4. Shruthya jagat shrisy nishedhaha: Scriptural negation of jagat shristy. (Verses 15 to 30)

Gowdapadha took many maha vakyam to

establish number 3. Jivatma and paramtma are two words but there is only

one. If there is only one, then there is no relationship. When

there is no relations there can be no cause and effect. However,

Gowdapadha is conveniently taking only those portions where jivatma and

paramatma are taken as one and not the portions where the jivatma pramatma beda

is talked about. For example, the two birds imagery in Mundaka

Upanishdads. When the Upanishads do talk about the beda, they are only

temporarily valid because dwaidam is used as a stepping stone, Therefore we

have to use dwaidam and practice karma yoga. We have to accept dwaidam

and upasana yoga also. Until we practice karma yoga and

upasana yoga and gather

sadhana sadhusta sambandhi, we have to accept dwaidam. For example, the

skin of banana and fruits is required for ripening of the fruit but you can't

say I am ungrateful to the skin and eat the skin. But we remove the skin,

it is not ingratitude. Similarly, dwaidam is required untill advaidam is

acquired and after advaidam is acquired, dwaidam is discarded as mithya.

Mithya is not sathyam but temporarily valid and useful.

Verse 15

Creation has been taught in many

ways through the example of clay, gold, spark etc. It is a method for the

understanding of non duality. There is no duality anyhow.

There are many statements in

Upanisahd which clearly states Brahman is not a karanam. In Katho

upanishad, it is stated that Brahman has not produced the world at all; no

plurality born out of Brahman. If you see plurality, it is misconception. But you are quoting only mantras that negate creation, but

there are many mantras where creation is talked about. Creation is also

used as a teaching method, it has only temporary validdity. Creation is

not the primary teaching of the Upanishads. In Taitreya Upanishad, pancha

boodha talked about. This was also talked about in other upanishad in

various form. They also give different example. In Chandokya Upanishad clay-pot example, gold-ornament and iorn examples

are given.

This indicates from one Brahman many jagats came. Even though Vedas discuss

shristy, Gowdapadha boldly asserts it is not a fact. It is only

provisionally valid like scaffolding. Shruthi itself negates them

later. Veda can't avoid shristy topic because it is a stepping stone to

arrive at advaidam. It is a methodology to teach advaidam.

- 1. Pot is a product
- 2. What is the cause of the product?
- Clay is the cause of the pot introduction of second stage.
- 4. Can you show me a pot other than clay?
- There is no substance other than clay third stage negation of effect or product, pot.
- 6. Once you negate the pot, the effect, the clay can't be called a cause. Clay enjoys the status of cause only because of

pot. In the fourth stage, you negate the karanam status of the clay

(you don't negate the pot, only its status).

Pot vision is replaced by clay vision which can't be classified as karnam or kariyam. The first two stages or

adhyaropa stages and the next two stages are called

In the case of Vedanta, pot should be replaced by universe.

- 1. World is a product
- 2. God or Brahman is the cause
- 3. There is no world other than Brahman
- 4. If world, the product is negated, then Brahman can't enjoy the status cause. To arrive at this conclusion,

Upanishads introduce the god.

Example: Divide 17 elephants in the ration of 1/2, 1/3 and 1/9 by donating one elephant and taking it away as the final remaining elephant.

Verse 16

There are three types of seeker with inferior, intermediate and superior vision. Upasna has been taught for them out of compassion.

If in the vision of vedas. there is no creation, there is no creator why do the veda discuss the meditation of paramatma by jivatma? This creator and created is also provisionally accepted until the mind is mature for advaidam. There are three types of inferior seekers:

- 1. Heena, Mandha, the lowest
- 2. Madhyama, middle
- 3. Uthkrisha, the superior

These people are not prepared for advaidam and will not appeal. Upanishad does not want to force advaidam on these people.

Verse 17

The dualists are firmly settled on their own set of conclusions. They contradict one another. This teaching is not in conflict with them.

From this verse to up to 22nd verse

are diversion verses. Gowdapadha says only when the student co-operates

with the teacher, he will be able to use dwaidam as a stepping stone and arrive

at advaidam. If the co-operation is not there, then the student will take

dwaidam as the fact and will become a phonetic dwaist and lose sight of

advaidam. This results in loss for the prejudiced student. Gowdapadha

criticize these students who wants to remain in karma, puja, upasana and ishta

devada and do not want to transcend to advaidam.