## Mandukya Upanishad, Class 42

Class 42

The Upanishad describes features of

Brahman, we come across a logical problem that two features of Brahman

contradict each other. One feature is nirvikaram, not subject to modification,

beyond time and space, eternal and all pervading; free from all modification

caused by time. The same upanishad describe Brahman as jagat karanam —

nimitha karanam or upadhana karanam — word karanam implies subject to

modification. In one place it is nirvikaram and another place it says it is

savikaram. The only way to reoncile is one is sathyam and another is

mithya. Opposite words can co-exist only in different planes. Which

one is mithya savikaram or nivikaram? Savikaram — the karanam status

-alone should be taken as mithya. Once karanam status is taken as mithya

and the world created by the karanam status is also mithya. Mithya

karanam can only create mithya kariyam. Gowdapadha gave spiritual

quotation to support this conclusion in verses 24 to 26. Gowdapadha gives

logical support for the logical conclusion in verses 27 to 30.

The origination of world out of

Brahman is possible only in one way — through Maya — apparently or seemingly or

unreal. Real creation is not at all possible out of Brahman. If you

assume a creation originates out of Brahman, if the world is a kariyam and then

Brahman will become Karanam. If Brahman is a real cause of the universe

then Brahman is subject to modification, becoming savikaram. If Brahman

has modification, then you should accept the six fold modification. One of the

modification is jenma, that is subject to birth. That means Brahman will

become kariyam, then it will require its karanam. You will never be able

to arrive at logical conclusion at all. Brahman will become subject to

birth and death and will become a samsari.

Verse 28.

From a sat vasthu ( Brahman)

produces a world, it can only be only a mithya or unreal world.

From an asat vashtu (nonexistent

cause) neither a real nor unreal creation can exist. Sunya vadha

philosophers say Brahman did not produce world, but it came from sunyam.

Son of a woman who is vandhya — incapable of giving birth (a baron woman), can

never be born either really or apparently. Real creation is not possible

from sat or asat.

Verse 29

The world we see can only be

mithya. How can I accept this solid world as unreal? This world

appears to be very real. The world is clearly visible, tangible, useful,

gives me sugam and dhukkam and all of these are very real. Just because

the world is visible, tangible, useful, gives you problem you can't conclude it

is real because an unreal world can also be visible, tangible, useful and give

your problem. Tangibility does not prove reality. Experience does

not prove reality. Utility does not prove reality. The unreal dream

world is also tangible when you are in dream. Unreal dream world appear

real in dream state and unreal waking world appear real in waking state.

So Jagrath prabanja and swapna prabanja are unreal. Both are unreal when

the state is reshuffled. When you go to sushukthi both are unreal.

Let us assume another state of

experience (other than swapna and waking) where you get another body, space,

time etc. in that state also mind perceives dwaida basham or dwaida

experience; All dwaida experiences are mithya. Vaikunda , kailasa,

Brahma loga are all dwaida and mithya. Advaidam alone sathyam. If

we go to nirvakalpaka samathi would advaidam Brahman stand in front of

you? No because it is also dvaidam. Advaidam is not a matter or objectification

of experience. Don't look into going to another state of

experience to see Brahman. If you do, there will be an experiencer and experience with duality. All dwaidam is mithya.

## Verse 30

The non-dual mind alone appears as duality in dream. In dream, there is only one object — mind. Mind appears as dream objects; mind seemingly convert itself into dream objects and create the seeming duality. Mind itself makes a seeming division.

Because when you wake up, you can shake off the entire dream world.

Similarly, the non-dual Brahman alone seemingly appears as duality in waking

state. That Brahman I am. I alone appear as the world. Just as the waker boldly say I am the tiger, mountain etc. in dream, a gyani can say

I alone appear as the world.

The four topics discussed by Gowdapatha:

- 1. Yuktyya jiva shrity nishedhaga: Logical negation of jiva shristy (Vereses 3 to 9)
- Yukthya jagat shristy nisedhagaha: Logical negation of jagat shrisy (Verse 10)
- 3. Shruthya jiva shristy nishedhaha: Scriptural negation of jiva shristy (Verses 11 to 14)
- 4. Shruthya jagat shrisy nishedhaha: Scriptural negation of jagat shristy. (Verses 15 to 30)



With this these four topics are

completed. Taken together this means there is never jiva shrishti nor

jagat shrishti; there is no shrishti; there is no kariyam. Therefore,

Brahman is not a karanam. He is kariya karana Brahma vilakshyanam or

advaidam thiriyum. The significance of the word advaidam is kariya karana vilakshanam

## Verse 31

All samsara problem is caused by

duality. The dream perception of duality causes problem because it makes

me forget the advaidam, the mind; dwaida

dharshanam is the cause of samsara. Advaida dharshanam is the solution for samsra.

In jagradha avastha, you have dwaida

avastha, you have kama, krodha, moha, laya etc. In sushukthi there is no

dwaidam and we experience moksha temporarily. When dwaida dharshanam

there is problem Dwaida dharshanam is very much there when the mind is

active. In jagradh and swapna mind is active; in sushukthi mind is

resolved, there is neither dwaidam or samsara. In jagradh and swapnma the

mind is active and there is samsara problem. When the mind is active,

there is problem and when the mind is not there, there is no problem. The

mind is the culprit. In deep sleep there is world, but it does not cause

samsara. Therefore for moksha, you have to tackle your own

mind.

Tackling the mind, conquering the mind (amani bava) are dealt with in verses 31 to 39.