

Upadesa Saram, Clas10

Greetings All,

Shlokas 21:

This, the Heart, is the true import of "I". For it exists, when the " I" is not.

Continuing his teaching Swami Paramarthananda says,

in first part of Upadesha Saram, Bhagawan Ramana Maharishi talked of various Sadhanas such as Upasana, and Ashtanga Yoga highlighting Pranayama. All of them are for preparing the personality and are for Gyana Yogyatha Prapthihi. After following this everybody has to come to Gyana Yoga or Atma Vichara or Bodha Marga. From shloka # 14 onwards Eka Chintana or Advaita Atma Vichara is discussed. Only through Gyana can mind be handled. Unless mind is handled properly one cannot get liberation. Mind is a Mithya instrument with low order of reality. Consciousness is different from mind. I am different from mind. I am the agent behind mind. I am true while mind is Mithya. Recognition of mind as mithya results in Mano Nasha. Mind equals Ahamkara because it consists of thought and even in that the primary thought is the "I" thought. Mano nasha is Ahamkara Nasha. Knowing the Atma or knowing the I can falsify Ahamkara. There is no pot other than the Clay. Only after Knowledge of Clay can Pot be falsified. It is the same with water and wave. Once we see everything as water there are no more waves. Only after Atma Gyanam can Ahamkara be falsified. This can be done only through Atma Vichara.

Shloka # 22:

The body, the sense, the vital force and ignorance, all these are insentient. They are not the consciousness, which "I am".

Aham Patati or Ahamkara falls. This occurs through Atma

enquiry. This is arriving at the consciousness principle through Pancha Kosha or five-layered body. Therefore self-knowledge is knowing I am not the five-layered body but the Consciousness. Consciousness is not a part, product or property of the body. It is Nithyaha, Sarvagathaha, Nirvikaraha, Aprameya, Akarta, Abhokta etc. I am that Consciousness existence principle. "I am body" is a mithya. This knowledge is Atma Gyanam. After this gyanam Ahamkara falls. Ahamkara means the mind. It is falsified.

Shloka # 23:

Is there another consciousness to light Existence? Existence itself is consciousness from which the I arises.

In previous shloka, Ramana Maharishi pointed out that Atma is different from the five-layered body. It is pure undivided attention. It is existence associated with every object. This existence principle is called Sat. It is in my body as well. In body, we call it "am". In an object we call it as "is". The "am" and "is" are same. Bodies are many, objects are many, and existence is, however, only one. How can one experience this pure existence? Right now we are experiencing existence associated with objects. We are not experiencing existence in its pure form. We experience a watch, that it exists, by saying it "is". We can differentiate between existence and non-existence. Even though we experience existence, it is with respect to objects. Atma is pure existence. We need to separate existence from objects and come to pure existence.

How to become conscious of pure existence?

Bhagawan Ramana Maharishi says pure existence can never be an object of knowledge. It will always be a subject or the consciousness principle. Pure consciousness is the very Subject. Chit cannot illumine Sat. It cannot objectify Sat. How can you objectify it when it is You.

You want to see your own original "I". You can only see the

reflected I in the mirror. The eye can never perceive the original I . Even though eye can't objectify the I you still don't have any doubt about the original I. That doubtless I is pure existence. That doubtless I is pure Consciousness in Sat Chit Atma Asmi. It has no limitation. Limitation is only for body. "I" am free from

limitations hence "I" am Sat Chit Ananada.

Explaining Shloka # 23, Where is the Consciousness that is separate from existence? Is there a consciousness that is separate from existence? If it is there, then we can separate them. Consciousness can then look at existence. That is not possible. Existence equals Consciousness. So, objectification of consciousness is not possible.

Explaining Shloka 23, a Consciousness that is the illuminator of existence and different from existence, where is it available? There is no such consciousness as it is the very Subject. This is because Consciousness (Chit) is in the form of pure existence Sat.

Aham =Sat. Pure existence is in form of Consciousness.

Therefore we can say: Pure existence is in form of consciousness. Also, Consciousness is in form of Existence. Consciousness and Existence are one and the same. They are just two words for one. It is the plurality of names, both referring to one Atma or " I am". Once you know this, your spiritual journey is over. Existence has also has all properties of Atma such as : Nithyaha, Sarvagathaha, Nirvikaraha, Aprameya, Akarta, Abhokta, etc . It exists even after fall of body. It should learn to claim " I am the Atma." This is Nidhidhyasanam.

Shloka 24:

The difference between God and individual is only in name, form and intelligence. They are the same in their essential

nature as the heart.

This Existence-Consciousness is the same one behind the Samashti (Macro) as well as Vyashti (Micro or Jivatma). In both Jiva atma and Paramatma, the atma is the same one. The difference is in the medium of manifestation. This is the Jivatma Paramatma Aikya Gyanam.

Shloka # 24 is a Mahavakya. Are the wave and the ocean different or identical? From a superficial angle they are diagonally opposite. Thus, the wave is small while ocean is big; Wave is supported, Ocean supports; Wave is an effect while ocean is the cause; Wave is subject to arrival and departure while ocean is not. However, if you analyze them as wave is water and ocean is also water. Then, there is no such thing as wave. Wave is only a Nama and Roopa. So also with Ocean, it is also only a Nama and Roopa. The water is the same in both. So also in Vyashti (body) and Samashti (creation) there is only one Atma. Superficial Jivatma and Paramatma both are in reality Atma.

Chandogya Upanoshad says: Tat Tvam Asi. Shankaracharya has written on this maha mantra in Vakya Vrithi.

Explaining Shloka # 24, The difference between Jivatma and Paramatma is superficial. They are just name and form and not the content. Thus:

Atma +Samashti=Paramatma

Atma +Vyashti=Jivatma

From Nama Roopa perspective they are different. But Svabhavatha (true nature) the Param and Jiva adjectives go away and one is left with Atma only.

Both are pure existence (nirguna), which is the ultimate reality. So have a penetrating vision for Advaitam.

Shloka # 25:

When perception of name and form ceases, there is the vision of the Self. This is also the vision of God, for, God and the Self are the same.

This shloka is also a Mahavakya. From these verses we know Bhagawan Ramana Maharishi knew about traditional teachings. He knew the mahavakyas. He shows that he was in sync with Shankaracharya.

Take the wave and ocean. Remove nama and ropa and you will see it is only water. Thus, one can see the content by just shifting our vision from Nama Roopa. This is known as Bhoga Tyaga Lakhanam. Bangle is gold, chain is gold, ring is gold. Give up superficial nama and roopa and you will come to understanding of Jivatma (essential nature) and simultaneously the essential nature of Paramatma as well. They are not different. They are the one essential nature. Self Realization is God realization. They are not a separate process.

After removal of Vesha (dress) one sees the oneness of Jivatma and Paramatma. One who sees the water, for him there is no ocean or wave. I am that Atma.

Take Away from this class: Shloka # 25:

Giving us a very powerful tool of discovering the Self, Swamiji says we should look at people and objects without Nama and Roopa. Please experiment with concept and if you hold that thought long enough you will see a change in perspective.

With Best Wishes,

Ram Ramaswamy